Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. I respectfully request that you either require Sinclair to give equal time to an opposing view such as Fahrenheit911 or to a pro-Kerry piece such as "Going Upriver" also to be shown before the election or that the anit-Kerry documentary be delayed until after the election.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Choosing to use these free public airwaves for partiisan political promotions giving unbalanced emphasis to one view is not in the interests of the ublic or of democracy.

When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media

ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Please do your duty and ensure that Sinclair is held to the standard of using our airwaves to serve our greater interest and not one-sided political propogandizing. Thank you.